

# NWPP RESOURCE ADEQUACY PROGRAM *OVERVIEW AND CURRENT STATUS*

**FRANK AFRANJI (PRESIDENT NWPP)**

APRIL 17, 2020

CREPC/WIRAB SPRING WEBINAR



# KEY TAKE-AWAYS

- › Soon areas in the west may face a **capacity deficit** of thousands of megawatts. Deficits of that magnitude may result in both extraordinary price volatility and unacceptable loss-of-load
- › **Utilities in the west are moving forward** to design a Resource Adequacy (RA) program.
- › An RA program traditionally includes a forward showing program and an operational program which work together **to ensure reliability and unlock savings through diversity**
- › The West has unique cultural and operational factors, requiring **unique regulatory solutions**

# WHY DOES THE REGION NEED AN RA SOLUTION?

- › The NWPP report: *Exploring a Resource Adequacy Program for the Pacific Northwest, 2019* includes two primary conclusions:
  1. The region may begin to experience shortages as soon as next year
  2. By the mid-2020s, the region may face a capacity deficit of thousands of megawatts. Deficits of that magnitude may result in both extraordinary price volatility and unacceptable loss-of-load
- › As a result of this analysis, the NWPP and its member utilities are moving forward to design an RA program for NWPP member utilities

# OVERVIEW OF PROJECT TIMELINE



**Phase 1:  
Information  
Gathering  
(concluded Oct.  
2019)**

**Phase 2A:  
Preliminary  
Design Phase  
(Early 2020)**

**Phase 2B:  
Detailed Design  
(Late 2020)**

**Phase 3:  
Begin Work to  
Implement  
Program (2021)**

# STATUS REPORT

- Four two-day Steering Committee work sessions; CAISO/SPP attended February work session
- Draft proposal on forward showing program; working through other design elements
- Started RA modeling for the region with the help of E3
- Evaluating regulatory pathways with legal assistance
- Conducted two advisory committee meetings and one public webinar; second public webinar on April 24
- Considering staging/sequencing of program functionality and scope and interim solutions

# ORGANIZING AN RA PROGRAM

*MARK HOLMAN, POWEREX*



# ORGANIZING AN RA PROGRAM

## *Two Time Horizons*

### Forward Showing

- › Regional metrics (LOLE standard: 1 event in 10 years)
- › Entities prove they meet regional metrics months in advance of a season
- › Ensures reliability benefits

### Operational

- › Access to pooled regional resources
- › Enables lowering/right-sizing of forward showing capacity requirement to account for regional diversity
- › Unlocks investment savings through diversity
- › Function usually provided by an ISO/RTO

# COMMON CHARACTERISTICS *OF A FORWARD SHOWING PROGRAM*

Obligation/cost is allocated to responsible entities

- › Forward procurement “showing” of defined level of capacity (quantity set to expected peak load forecast + defined planning reserve margin)
- › Load forecast determined/validated by independent Program Administrator
- › Defined consequences for entities that fail to “show” required capacity



# COMMON CHARACTERISTICS OF A *FORWARD SHOWING PROGRAM*

Generators may provide / sell a pre-defined quantity of resource adequacy capacity:

- › Transactions through existing bilateral market framework
- › Receive compensation in exchange for energy must-offer obligation to “footprint”
- › Quantity of eligible RA capacity for each resource determined/validated by Program Administrator
- › Defined consequences for resources that fail to “deliver” energy in operational timeframe

# COMMON CHARACTERISTICS *OF A FORWARD SHOWING PROGRAM*

Reliability of service is generally ensured through:

- › Establishing robust capacity procurement quantity and lead time
- › Quantifying capacity of resources
- › Rules that establish qualification of imports (credit), identification of firm export commitments (debit)
- › Curtailment / limitation on short-term discretionary exports, if/when needed

# LOADS AND RESOURCES

## DEMAND SIDE

Calculate: "PURE" CAPACITY NEEDED BASED ON:

- **P50 LOAD FORECAST +**
- **Contingency Reserves +**
- **PRM needed to meet The RA metric (1 in 10 LOLE)**



"PURE" CAPACITY NEEDED

## SUPPLY SIDE

Calculate: "PURE" CAPACITY AVAILABLE BASED ON:

› **Total Supply, de-rated and qualified as follows:**

*Wind - ELCC*

*Solar - ELCC*

*Thermals - UCAP*

*Run of River Hydro - ELCC*

*Storage Hydro - UCAP + NWPP developed hydro methodology*



"PURE" SUPPLY AVAILABLE

# STRUCTURAL AND GOVERNANCE CONSIDERATIONS

*SUSAN ACKERMAN, EWEB*



# PROCESS

## *RESEARCHING AND SURVEYING*

- The NWPP RA effort includes a work group that has been researching and surveying several topics related to program structure and governance
- Still in early stages; today's presentation includes preliminary information about regulatory landscape

# CONSIDERATIONS

## *AROUND POTENTIAL FERC AND STATE JURISDICTION*

- Jurisdiction will depend on **scope**, **functions**, and **timing** of functions of program
- Federal Power Act, “FPA”: *“an agreement affecting the rates, terms, and conditions of sales of electric energy for resale in interstate commerce and/or transmission of electric energy in interstate commerce”*

# ROLE OF STATES

## *IN A REGIONAL RA PROGRAM*

- States have exclusive jurisdiction over the facilities used for the generation of electric energy
- States traditionally have comprehensively regulated electric generation resource planning and adequacy
- The interplay between FERC regulation and the states' longstanding regulation of RA is thus an example of the “cooperative federalism” where both play a role

# IMPORTANT CONSIDERATIONS

- The NWPP RA program is unique: currently all RA programs operate under RTOs/ISOs and must meet FERC's independence requirements
- What are the requirements for the Program Administrator (PA)? Will the PA be subject to FERC requirements?
- Where should the RA program point of compliance be? At the load-serving entity level?
- Timing of potential FERC jurisdiction: may depend on how program components are staged/rolled-out
- How to protect the jurisdictional status of non-jurisdictional entities?



# PRELIMINARY CONCLUSIONS

- » A program without binding commitments or financial penalties may not be FERC-jurisdictional; but this would likely result in program with information sharing only
- » FERC likely will have jurisdiction over certain components of a binding program
- » Under a FERC jurisdictional program, the program administrator and governance structure will likely need to meet FERC's independence criteria

# FORWARD SHOWING PROGRAM PROPOSAL

*SCOTT KINNEY, AVISTA*



# PROCESS:

## *RESEARCHING AND SURVEYING*

- › Steering Committee identified common program elements by canvassing other RA programs, like SPP and CAISO
- › Preliminary proposal developed for:
  - › *Seasons / Timeline*
  - › *Program Administrator*
  - › *Capacity Contributions*

\*initial proposal, nothing has been decided.

# TWO BINDING SEASONS

**Winter (BINDING):** Nov-March

**Summer (BINDING):** June-Sept

*Spring (advisory):* April -May

*Fall (advisory):* October

- Administrator will provide 3-5 years of advisory data/metrics for planning purposes
- **Compliance showing** deadline 7 months in advance of binding seasons
- **Cure period** for 2 months following compliance showing date



# THERMAL CAPACITY CONTRIBUTION

## Use UCAP Methodology

- › Improves upon ICAP methodology (discounting for ambient temperature) by accounting for resource-specific outage metrics
- › Enables more realistic reflection of unit reliability (vs socializing outage averages across the region)
- › SPP and CAISO are both considering shifting from ICAP to UCAP

# HYDRO CAPACITY CONTRIBUTION

\*Methodology is in development – no other region has tackled this issue. Intent is that hydro capacity calculations should be as consistent as possible with the way we calculate capacity contributions for VERS.

- › Run of river – ELCC
- › Storage
  - › *Using a time-period approach (historical look-back over 10 years)*
  - › *Assess generation output during historical high load periods*
  - › *Account for available storage during historical high load periods (assess what generation could have been available)*

# VER CAPACITY CONTRIBUTIONS

- › Use ELCC calculations

  - Considering sub-regional basis to account for varying fuel characteristics*

  - ELCC calculations have modeling/technical considerations; being considered/informed by current modeling efforts*

- › CAISO and SPP approaches to VER capacity contributions are evolving

# QUESTIONS?

[www.nwpp.org/adequacy](http://www.nwpp.org/adequacy)